



April 22, 2015

RECEIVED

2015 APR 30 P 4: 25

AZ CORP CONTA DOCKET CONTA

Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: Commission consideration of rate design issues outside of rate cases for APS, TEP, UNS, Trico, and SSVEC; Dockets: E-01345A-13-0248; E-01933A-15-0100; E-04204A-15-0099; E-01461A-15-0057; E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Chairman Bitter Smith and Commissioners,

The signatories to this correspondence agree with Commission Staff that the important rate design issues raised in the above referenced dockets must only be considered in the context of the utilities' general rate cases. While the parties hereto may or may not agree on their desired outcome of the above referenced dockets, each of those signing below agree that the issues presented in those dockets are the type of rate design issues that due process requires be dealt with in full rate cases and should not be addressed in separate, standalone dockets.

Rates have been proposed, litigated, and approved within the general rate case framework since the beginning of the Commission's jurisdiction over electric utility companies and this is not just done as a matter of tradition. In fact, Arizona's Constitution prohibits single issue ratemaking as set forth in the preeminent case on this issue, *Scates v. Arizona Corp. Commission*, 118 Ariz. 531 (1978).

Ensuring the broad rate design issues raised in the above referenced dockets are adjudicated within the general rate case framework provides the following benefits:

- Substantial data. Rates are designed with full knowledge of the utility's rate of return, rate base, and cost of service studies.
- Wide engagement. All stakeholders should have the opportunity to weigh in on rate design issues and that opportunity is lost when these discussions happen in non-traditional forums.
- Predictability. Stakeholders should be afforded a high level of predictability around rates, including when and how they can be altered.

For all the above reasons, we request that the Commission only consider the issues raised in the above referenced dockets in the context of a rate case.

Sincerely,

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JUCKETED

APR 3 0 2015

The Attached Signatories

DOCKETED BY

Arizona Corporation Commission Dockets: E-01345A-13-0248; E-01933A-15-0100; E-04204A-15-0099; E-01461A-15-0057; E-01575A-15-0127 April 22, 2015 Page 2

Arizona School Boards Association

₹v·

Dockets: E-01345A-13-0248; E-01933A-15-0100; E-04204A-15-0099; E-01461A-15-0057; E-01575A-15-0127

April 22, 2015

Page 3

Recreation Centers of Sun City

By:

Dockets: E-01345A-13-0248; E-01933A-15-0100; E-04204A-15-0099; E-01461A-15-0057; E-01575A-15-0127

April 22, 2015

Page 4

The Alliance for Solar Choice

Couch Rich attorny for TASC

Dockets: E-01345A-13-0248; E-01933A-15-0100; E-04204A-15-0099; E-01461A-15-0057; E-01575A-15-0127

April 22, 2015

Page 5

By. For Albert Gervenuck

Albert Gervenack, Sun City West Resident

Dockets: E-01345A-13-0248; E-01933A-15-0100; E-04204A-15-0099; E-01461A-15-0057; E-01575A-15-0127

April 22, 2015

Page 6

Arizona Solar Energy Industry Association

By: Mech Holokan

Dockets: E-01345A-13-0248; E-01933A-15-0100; E-04204A-15-0099; E-01461A-15-0057; E-01575A-15-0127

April 22, 2015

Page 7

Natural Power and Energy

By: /WW

Dockets: E-01345A-13-0248; E-01933A-15-0100; E-04204A-15-0099; E-01461A-15-0057; E-01575A-15-0127

April 22, 2015

Page 8

Western Grid Group

By: amande Caminal

Arizona Corporation Commission Dockets: E-01345A-13-0248; E-01933A-15-0100; E-04204A-15-0099; E-01461A-15-0057; E-01575A-15-0127 April 22, 2015 Page 9

Vote Solar

By:

Rick Gilliam

Program Director, DG Regulatory Policy

Vote Solar Colorado Office

Arizona Corporation Commission
Dockets: E-01345A-13-0248; E-01933A-15-0100; E-04204A-15-0099; E-01461A-15-0057; E-01575A-15-0127
April 22, 2015
Page 10

Solar Energy Industries Association

Bv: